

Douglas:

You know what's missing from your letter regarding the Religious Restoration Act? It is that you don't acknowledge the only reason this is in the news is that LGBT activists are targeting Christian businesses trying to make a point. Call me cynical, but they're probably doing it to get more sympathy from the Supreme Court Justices in advance of its consideration of marriage equality.

At any rate, here's the real picture: If the owners of a business in any way identify them as Christians, in the advertising, decorations on the wall of a business, etc. then they are who these activists (funded by George Soros) go to obtain services in hopes of being turned down so gays can whine and moan to Big Brother Government to get relief. In the meantime, the activists will use whatever means necessary to ruin the reputation of the vendor and try to put the baker or the florist, whatever, out of business. Yeah, that's real fair, Douglas.

You and I both know the truth is this: if one baker won't bake a cake for a wedding ceremony, there are probably 100 others who will. I happen to be involved in the wedding industry in central Ohio and I know this for a fact. I'm not in the camp that would deny any services, but my service doesn't require me to be personally involved with the "happy couple" all day during the ceremony or reception as some vendors must be. And if someone finds it morally unacceptable to be a party to an aberrant union of a so-called marriage, then why would you want to force it on them in the name of secularism? What makes your point of view more important than that of the offended vendor?

And while we're on the subject of the Religious Restoration Act, did you and your kind protest against it when the Democrats passed the federal law in 1993 and Bill Clinton signed it? You are so transparent in your pursuit of forcing your point of view down everyone else's throats while acting high and mighty like this is going to cause discrimination. Why didn't you make a big deal of the federal law that's over 20 years old?

I don't give a flying flip who anyone sleeps with and what consenting adults do. When it comes to marriage, however, that is between one man and one woman and that is because it was intended to continue the species, and for frick's sake that can't be done (without outside intervention) between Adam and Steve or Madame and Eve. Last I looked (although it's changing fast) this is a free country. So if I don't want to bake a cake for the gays, or take their pictures having a so-called marriage, leave me the heck alone and go to the next name on the list because there are lots of willing vendors to serve you. No you just want to shove your crap down the throats of people who have every right to say "no" on the basis of a religious and moral objection. You want to take away their rights and force your own point of view.

Get over yourselves and work on something that really matters like demanding this hopelessly inept President of ours and our do-nothing representatives do something about an \$18 TRILLION debt. Now that's a real problem Doug.

Geez.....

